ACE Inhibitors and Coronary Circulation

Georgios I. Papaioannou, MD Hartford Hospital 6/4/2002

Objectives

- Impact of ACE-Inhibitors on atherosclerotic heart disease
- ACE-Inhibitors and coronary microcirculation
- Anti-ischemic effect of ACE-Inhibitors in patients with risk factors for CAD or documented CAD
- Future directions ACE genotyping

ACE-Inhibitors and CVS Disease



Mortality findings ($RR \pm 95\%$ CI) in the large placebo-controlled ACE-Inhibitor trials of patients with AMI, AMI with LV dysfunction, CHF and CAD/DM. J Am Coll Cardiol 2001;37:1456-60.

Long-term ACE-Inhibition in patients with CHF and/or LV dysfunction



SAVE, AIRE, TRACE versus all Trials: Mortality over 4 years. Lancet 2000;355:1575-81.

Expanded indications for ACE-Inhibitors





Survival curves from the APRES study. The ramipril group experienced significantly fewer cardiovascular effects during the follow-up period. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000;35:881-8. The occurrence of MI, stroke, or CVS death in the HOPE study. The ramipril group did substantially better than the placebo group (RR=0.78, 95% CI=0.70-0.86). N Engl J Med 2000;342:145-53.

ACE-Inhibitors in patients with DM

p=0.0004

p=0.01

p=0.004

p=0.0001



p=0.0074

HOPE and MICRO-HOPE Study. Lancet 2000;355:253-9.

Effect of Ramipril on Coronary Events in Various Subgroups

Characteristic	No.of Pts	Rate in Placebo	
Overall	9297	12.2	
Age<65 Age>=65	4169 5128	10.7	
Female Male	2480 6817	9.4	
Smoker- Smoker+	7977 1319	11.5	
Hypertension - Hypertension +	4942 4355	11.8	
Diabetes- Diabetes+	5720 3577	11.8	
Previous-MI- Previous-MI+	4402 4892	8.7	
CHD- CHD+	1820 7477	7.5	
Lipid lowering drug- Lipid lowering drug+	6639 2658	13.1	
ASA- ASA+	2484 6813	12.1	
Betablockers- Betablockers+	5624 3673	12.2	
Previous-PTCA/CABG- Previous-PTCA/CABG+	5614 3683	12.7	
		0.6 0.8 1 RR(95% CI)	1.2

Circulation 2001;104:522-526.

ACE-Inhibitors and PTCA / Stenting

Variable	OR	95% CI	p Value
ACE-Inhibition	0.46	0.29-0.74	0.001
Debulking	4.56	1.77-11.70	0.001
No of diseased vessels	1.44/vessel	1.12-1.87	0.005
Restenotic lesion	1.78	1.18-2.68	0.006
Prior CABG	0.65	0.41-1.00	0.051
Lesion length	1.02/mm	1.00-1.04	0.052

Ellis et al. Am J Cardiol 2002;89:937-40.

ACE-Inhibitor Therapy

- CAD without contraindications to ACE-I
- ◆ CAD with SBP ≥130 mm Hg
- Type II DM with or without CAD
- Insulin resistance with SBP $\geq 130 \text{ mm Hg}$
- CHF and/or LV dysfunction

O' Keefe et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2001;37:1-8.

Objectives

- Impact of ACE-Inhibitors on atherosclerotic heart disease
- ACE-Inhibitors and coronary microcirculation
- Anti-ischemic effect of ACE-Inhibitors in patients with risk factors for CAD or documented CAD
- Future directions ACE genotyping

ACE-I Pharmacology (I)



The four sites of action of inhibitors of the renin-angiotensin system. J-G: Juxtaglomerular apparatus; CE: Converting Enzyme. Kaplan NM: Clinical Hypertension 1998.

ACE-I Pharmacology (II)



Pathways of angiotensin II formation $(AT_1R = Type 1 \text{ Receptor, NE} = Norepinephrine})$. M Bristow in: Braunwald – Zipes – Libby eds. Heart Disease 2001, Ch 18, 562-599.

A-II, BK: Effect on vascular endothelium



Vasodilation (ACE-I, BK): NO ([↑]), Prostacyclin ([↑]), EDHF ([↑]); **Vasoconstriction**: A-II (AT1), ET-1

J Am Coll Cardiol 1997;30:325-33 (Modified).

ACE-I Action and Vascular Oxidative Stress



Circulation 2001;104:1571-1574.

Oxidative Stress leads to attenuation of endothelium-dependent vasodilation



J Am Coll Cardiol 1999;34:631-8.

Mechanisms: 1) decreased production of NO through oxidized LDL-mediated mechanisms, and by 2) increased destruction of NO by superoxide. $G_i =$ pertussis sensitive G protein; O_2 = superoxide anion; ONOO⁻ = peroxynitrite anion; PKC = protein kinase C; cNOS = constitutive form of nitric oxide synthase; ET = endothelin; AT II = angiotensin II; ox-LDL = oxidized LDL; lyso PC = lysophosphatidylcholine; sGC = soluble guanylate cyclase; cGMP = cyclicguanosine monophosphate; NFKB = nuclear factorkappa beta

Why should we study the coronary microcirculation? (I)

- Even the most seminal reactions, autoregulation and metabolic dilatation, are incompletely understood
- CAD is not only an epicardial vessel disease
- There is great difficulty in the clinical evaluation of coronary microcirculation. Remember Syndrome X?
- Animal and human data suggest that dysfunction of coronary microcirculation can produce cardiac abnormalities. (e.g data with coronary infusion of ET1, data with measurement of CFR following PTCA)

Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2000;279:H2581-84.

Why should we study the coronary microcirculation? (II)

- Identification of patients with paradoxical vasoconstriction during increases in O₂ consumption
- Assess efficacy of various pharmacologic interventions who aim to produce dilation of coronary microcirculation
- Administration of drugs that "target" the coronary circulation can improve outcomes of interventional techniques (PTCA, Stenting)

Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2000;279:H2581-84.

Paradoxical Vasoconstriction induced by Acetylcholine in atherosclerotic coronary arteries



Ludmer et al. N Engl J Med 1986;315:1046-51.

Loss of flow-dependent coronary artery dilation in patients with hypertension and normal coronaries



LAD1: Proximal LAD diameter measured at *base*, after *PAP*, and after *ISDN*. The increase in flow (*PAP*) causes a significant dilation in controls (n=10, 17% \pm 3 %) compared to HTN subjects (n=14, -0.4% \pm -0.6%).

Antony I. et al. Circulation 1995;91:1624-1628.

Impaired endothelium-mediated relaxation in human coronary <u>resistance</u> arteries



Mean CBF measurements in patients with HTN-LVH compared to controls after infusion of Ach and Adenosine. Treasure CB et al. Circulation 1993;87:86-93.

Coronary microvascular dysfunction in patients with Diabetes (CFR)



Bar graph of maximal pharmacologic (papaverine or adenosine) coronary blood flow reserve in patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) (2.8±0.2, n=19) and non-diabetics (non-DM) (3.7±0.2, n=22). Nasher P. et al. Circulation 1995;91:635-40.

Coronary microvascular dysfunction in patients with Diabetes (CVR)



Bar graphs of change in coronary vascular resistance (CVR) and coronary vascular resistance *normalized* to the increase in rate-pressure product (RPP) during atrial pacing stress in patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) (\downarrow 14% ± 3%) and non-diabetics (non-DM) (\downarrow 24% ± 2%). Nasher P. et al. Circulation 1995;91:635-40.

Impairment of coronary microvascular dilation during CPT in Type II DM and abnormal ²⁰¹TI Imaging

↑ 14.7±19.8% ↑ 75.5±13.5%



(Left): Coronary blood flow in LAD in the two groups of patients at *baseline [white square]* and during the *CPT [black square]*. (Right) Relationship between changes in left anterior descending coronary blood flow and in the rate-pressure product induced by the CPT in the two groups of patients. There was a significant correlation between the two parameters in only the control subjects. Nitenberg A et al. Diabetes 2001;50(5):1180-85.

ACE-I restores flow-dependent and CPT-induced dilations in coronary arteries of hypertensive patients



Antony I, et al. Circulation 1996;94:3115-3122.

ACE-I improve CBF: Epicardial coronary arteries are more than conductance vessels



Maximal coronary blood flow and minimal coronary vascular resistance measured in the distal left anterior descending coronary artery after intracoronary papaverine (PAP), before (PAP 1) and after (PAP 2) administration of perindoprilat, and after papaverine after intracoronary isosorbide dinitrate (PAP 3). Note the increase in flow (PAP2) is more compared to the decrease of vascular resistance (PAP3<PAP2).

J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2000;36:570-576.

 0.7 ± 0.2

 0.6 ± 0.2

 0.8 ± 0.3

CFR after long-term treatment with Enalapril



Effects of long-term ACE-I treatment on coronary vasodilating capacity. Significant increase in maximal coronary flow and decrease in minimal coronary resistance occurred in response to dipyridamole (0.5 mg/kg body wt IV), with a corresponding increase in coronary reserve. Minimal coronary resistance did not change in only one patient. Mean ± SD is shown. (*Closed circle* indicates before treatment; *closed square*, after treatment. *P < .001. Hypertension 1996;27:1031-38.

Repair of coronary arterioles after treatment with Perindopril in Hypertensive Heart Disease (I)



Individual changes in coronary hemodynamics before and after long-term ACE-I therapy (12 months). Schwaartzkopff B, et al. Hypertension 2000;36:220-225.

Repair of coronary arterioles after treatment with Perindopril in Hypertensive Heart Disease (II)



Morphological changes before and after therapy. Evidence for regression of periarteriolar collagen. **Hypertension**, 2000;36:220-225.

Summary: Effects of ACE-I on coronary vasomotion in Hypertensive patients

- Coronary vasodilator responses to pharmacological (Ach) and physiological (CPT, Pacing) stimuli are impaired in the presence of endothelial dysfunction
- Both animal and human studies have demonstrated that ACE-I (acute and chronic treatment) may reverse abnormal vasomotion
- ? Exact role of NO, BK, EDHF, free radicals.

Eur Heart J 1998;19:(Suppl J):J45-J51.

Abnormal Flow-Mediated Epicardial Vasomotion in Human Coronary Arteries Is Improved by Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibition A Potential Role of Bradykinin Abhiram Prasad, MB, MRCP, Syed Husain, MD, Arshed A. Quyyumi, MD, MRCP, FACC Bethesda, Maryland

Methods-Protocol: In 19 patients with mild atherosclerosis, metabolic vasodilation was assessed during cardiac pacing. Pacing was repeated during separate intracoronary infusions of low-dose BK and enalaprilat. Endothelium-dependent and endotheliumindependent vasodilation was estimated with intracoronary BK and sodium nitroprusside respectively.

Enalaprilat induces endotheliumdependent coronary artery dilation



Figure 1. Effects of bradykinin (left) and sodium nitroprusside (right) before (control, •) and after enaloprilat (0) on epicardial diameter. (Data represent mean ± SEM).

Enalaprilat reverses vasoconstriction in segments with endothelial dysfunction after rapid atrial pacing



Figure 2. The effects of cardiac pacing before and after enalaprilat on coronary epicardial segments that initially constricted (left, n = 20) or dilated (right, n = 30) with cardiac pacing. There was no significant difference (p = 0.5) between the mean baseline diameters of constricting segments (2.1 ± 0.1 mm) compared to dilating segments (2.0 ± 0.1 mm). Data represent mean \pm SEM.

BK effect similar to Enalaprilat effect



Figure 4. The effects of cardiac pacing before and after 62.5 ng/min of intracoronary bradykinin on coronary epicardial segments which constricted (left) or dilated (right) with control pacing. Data represent mean \pm SEM.

Journal of the American College of Cardiology © 2000 by the American College of Cardiology Published by Elsevier Science Inc.

Contribution of Bradykinin Receptor Dysfunction to Abnormal Coronary Vasomotion in Humans Abhiram Prasad, MBBS, MRCP, Syed Husain, MD, William Schenke, BS, Rita Mincemoyer, RN, Neal Epstein, MD, Arshed A. Quyyumi, MD, FRCP, FACC Bethesda, Maryland

Methods - Protocol: In 53 patients with atherosclerosis or its risk factors and 9 controls, endothelium-dependent vasomotion was assessed with Ach and BK and endothelium-independent function with sodium nitroprusside. Correlation with serum ACE levels was performed.

Coronary Vascular resistance response to Ach and Nipride



Figure 2. Effect of task factors on ACH (30 μ g/min) and SNP (40 g/min) induced coronary microvascular dilation measured as % change in coronary vascular resistance compared with baseline. *p < 0.05, **p \leq 0.01 compared with 0 yisk factors. By analysis of variance, p = 0.025 for ACH and p > 0.1 for SNP responses between groups.

BK mediated vasodilation is similar irrespective of risk factors

p=0.5



Figure 3. Effect of Hsk factors on bradykinin-induced coronary epicardial dilation (measured as % change in diameter) and microviscular dilation (measured as % change in resistance). No difference in responses between etsk groups by analysis of variance.

BK induced vasomotion is adversely affected by 1 ACE levels and DD genotyping



Figure 6. (Top panel) Bradykimin-mechated eptendial vasomotion in patients with serum ACE levels > median value of 9.9 U/ml (high ACE, n = 30) compared with those with levels below mechan (low ACE, n = 32). (Lower panel) Bradykimin-mediated eptendral vasomotion in patients with DD (n = 13) genotype compared with patients with the ID or II genotypes (n = 49). The comparison between groups by analysis of variance. ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme.

Conclusions

- The kallikrein-kinin system plays a major role in the regulation of resting tone and flow-mediated epicardial vasodilation.
- Endothelial dysfunction in atherosclerosis appears to be receptor specific, involving the muscarinic receptor with relative sparing of the kinin receptor pathway
- BK activity appears to be influenced by serum ACE levels and the ACE I/D genotype.
- ACE-I and Neutral endopeptidase inhibitors enhance endogenous BK activity and may reverse endothelial dysfunction.

Objectives

- Impact of ACE-Inhibitors on atherosclerotic heart disease
- ACE-Inhibitors and coronary microcirculation
- Anti-ischemic effect of ACE-Inhibitors in patients with risk factors for CAD or documented CAD
- Future directions ACE genotyping

Clinical Importance: Improvement of Myocardial Blood Flow to ischemic regions by ACE-I with Quinaprilat IV

- Myocardial Blood Flow was analyzed in ischemic and nonischemic regions of 10 symptomatic patients with CAD using repetitive [¹⁵O] water PET at rest and during maximal dobutamine stress before and after ACE inhibition with quinaprilat 10 mg IV. 8 patients underwent the same protocol without quinaprilat
- Rate-pressure product was comparable in both groups
- Changes in MBF and total coronary resistance were examined noninvasively in patients before and after ACE-I

Schneider C et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 1999;34:1005-11.

IV Quinapril increase MBF in ischemic regions

Table 4. Myocardial Blood Flow: Nonischemic Regions

Placebo			Quinaprilat IV		
(ml/min/g)	Before Placebo	After Placebo	Before Quinaprilat IV	After Quinaprilat IV	
Rest	1.13 (0.08; 0.96 to 1.29)	1.27 (0.10; 1.07 to 1.48)	0.93 (0.06; 0.80 to 1.05)	1.23 (0.07; 1.08 to 1.38)	
Stress	3.19 (0.33; 2.51 to 3.86)*	2.65 (0.29; 2.06 to 3.25)†	2.04 (0.19; 1.67 to 2.42)‡	2.84 (0.35; 2.12 to 3.56)§	

Mean (SEM; 95% confidence interval). *p < 0.0001 vs. before placebo/rest. *p < 0.0001 vs. after placebo/rest. *p < 0.0001 vs. before quinaprilat IV/rest. *p < 0.0001 vs. after quinaprilat IV/rest. *p < 0.0001 vs. before quinaprilat IV/rest.

Table 5.	Myocardial	Blood	Flow:	Ischemic	Regions
----------	------------	-------	-------	----------	---------

Placebo			Quinaprilat IV		
(ml/min/g)	Before Placebo	After Placebo	Before Quinaprilat IV	After Quinaprilat IV	
Rest Stress	1.34 (0.11; 1.11 to 1.58) 1.41 (0.17; 1.04 to 1.78)	1.40 (0.13; 1.11 to 1.67) 1.39 (0.19; 0.97 to 1.82)	1.29 (0.16; 0.96 to 1.62) 1.10 (0.13; 0.83 to 1.37)	1.27 (0.14; 0.98 to 1.56) 1.69 (0.17: 1.33 to 2.05)*+	

Mean (SEM; 95% confidence interval). *p < 0.015 vs. after quinaprilat IV/rest. †p < 0.015 vs. before quinaprilat IV/stress.

Schneider C et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 1999;34:1005-11.

IV Quinapril improves Dobutamine Coronary Reserve in ischemic regions

Table 6. Dobutamine Coronary Reserve

	Placebo		Quinaprilat IV		
	Before Placebo	After Placebo	Before Quinaprilat IV	After Quinaprilat IV	
Nonischemic regions Ischemic regions	3.12 (0.32; 2.47 to 3.77) 1.07 (0.11; 0.8 to 1.32)†	2.42 (0.36; 1.70 to 3.15) 1.10 (0.16; 0.76 to 1.45)*	2.40 (0.20; 1.99 to 2.81) 0.97 (0.10; 0.78 to 1.17)	2.38 (0.25; 1.87 to 2.89)§ 1.44 (0.14; 1.14 to 1.75)‡	

Mean (SEM; 95% confidence interval). *p < 0.0001 vs. nonischemic regions/after placebo. *p < 0.003 vs. nonischemic regions/before placebo. *p < 0.002 vs. ischemic regions/before quinaprilat. *p < 0.02 vs. ischemic regions/after quinaprilat. *p < 0.001 vs. nonischemic regions/before quinaprilat.

Schneider C et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 1999;34:1005-11.

12 weeks of Enalapril reduces exerciseinduced myocardial ischemia



Time to 0.1 mV ST-segment depression at baseline, after 3 and 12 weeks treatment with enalapril. Dotted line is placebo group. After 12 weeks the difference between groups is significant (p=0.036). J Am Coll Cardiol 2001;37:470-4.

Anti-Ischemic Effects of ACE-I in Hypertension (Duration, RPR)



Figure 1. Change in ischemic threshold (rate-pressure product [RPP]) and duration of exercise at the onset of 1-mm ST-depression in hypertensive and normotensive patients before (open bars) and after (solid bars) treatment with enalapril.

Prasad et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2001;38:1116-22.

Interpreting Laboratory and Clinical Data

- Although clinical studies failed to demonstrate prevention of the onset of new ischemia¹, data support the antiischemic action of ACE-I on existing ischemia
- The mechanism is double: Reduction of A-II and increase of BK activity

¹Van Den Heuvel et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 1997;30:400-5, Oosterga M et al. Am J Cardiol 2000;87:542-6.

Objectives

- Impact of ACE-Inhibitors on atherosclerotic heart disease
- ACE-Inhibitors and coronary microcirculation
- Anti-ischemic effect of ACE-Inhibitors in patients with risk factors for CAD or documented CAD
- Future directions ACE genotyping

ACE (I/D) genotype as a predictor of the magnitude and duration of the response to IV Enalaprilat in humans



Dose ratios for angiotensin I at 1 hour and 10 hours post dose in II and DD subjects. *P=0.003 and **P=0.001 by Mann-Whitney U test. Circulation 1998;98:2148-2153.

B-Blockers, ACE-I, and ACE Deletion polymorphism in patients with CHF



Figure 1. Transplant-free survival compared by ACE genotype. Overall cohort, n=329. Ordered log-rank test, P=0.044.



Figure 4. Transplant-free survival compared by β -blocker use for patients with *ACE DD* genotype only, n=105. Event-free survival was significantly better for patients treated with β -blockers (n=43) compared with those not receiving therapy (n=62) (*P*=0.007 by log-rank test).

Transplant free survival is worse in the DD group, however patients in the DD group receiving b-blockers had an almost identical prognosis with those in the II group. McNamara et al. Circulation 2001;103:1644-48.

D allele of ACE is a major risk factor for restenosis after coronary stenting

	<i>DD</i> (n=45)	<i>ID</i> (n=83)	// (n=30)	P *
MLD at follow-up, mm	1.39±0.12	1.67±0.12	1.90±0.14	<.0001
Diameter stenosis at follow-up, %	49±4	41±4	35±5	<.003
Late loss, mm	1.08 ± 0.12	0.80±0.12	0.57 ± 0.14	<.0001
Net gain, mm	0.71 ± 0.16	0.96 ± 0.15	1.29 ± 0.18	<.0006
Loss index	0.70 ± 0.09	$0.50\!\pm\!0.08$	0.37±0.10	<.0002

Values are adjusted mean±SEM. *Adjusted test.

Quantitative Angiography Adjusted for Covariates.

Amant C, et al. Circulation 1997;96:56-60.

Coronary in-stent restenois and ACE polymorphism

 In 369 patients who underwent coronary stenting, the I/D polymorphism of the ACE gene was not a major predictor of in-stent restenosis, however in patients treated versus those not treated with an ACE-I or ARB, there was an increased frequency of ISR in the DD genotypes (40% versus 12%, p=0.006).

Jorgensen E, et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2001;38:1434-9.

Prescribing Genotyping: Not yet ready, but getting there

- Preliminary data suggest that ACE genotyping may be helpful in patients with heart failure and after coronary interventions given the poorer prognosis of the DD allele
- Identification of other DNA variants (polymorphisms) that may have functional consequences with respect to treatment to b-blockers, ACE-I, ASA etc is the target of the future.
- Further exploration of the renin-aldosterone pathway needed with respect to tissue ACE, dosing in CHF etc.

Circulation 2001;103:1608-10.

BRAZIL 1982 - The team of Dreams



Paolo Roberto Falcao

Socrates

Artunes Coimbra Zico