

**Bioresorbale Stents** 

# Just an Alternative or One-Way Street?

Georgios I. Papaioannou, MD, MPH, FACC, FSCAI Director, Cardiac Catheterization Laboratory Athens Medical Center 29/10/2015



# Bioresorbale Drug-Eluting Stents (BRS)

- Breakthrough technology (after biodegradable polymers)
- Stents disappear after their useful function (no local inflammatory reaction)
- Restore vasomotor tone and endothelialization
- Increase vessel diameter (positive remodeling)
- Improve coronary physiology
- Potentially decrease anginal burden

# Bioresorbale Drug-Eluting Stents (BRS)

- Not currently all patients are candidates and lesions are suitable
- Stent deployment requires optimal pre and post dilatation
- Low threshold of intracoronary imaging techniques
- Higher rate of early post-procedural stent thrombosis

## **Optimal BRS**

- Optimal short and mid term radial support with thin struts
- Adequate deliverability, handling and flexibility for insertion
- Consistency of drug elution
- Integrity during resorption

### Strategies of developing BRS

- Backbone comprised of L-lactic acid polymer
  - ABSORB stent (BVS): Everolimus-eluting stent (CE Mark, Abbot Vascular)
  - DESolve stent: Myolimus/Novolimus-eluting stent (CE Mark, Elixir Medical Corporation)
  - ART stent: No eluting drug (CE Mark, Terumo Corporation)
- Magnesium-based scaffolds (with rare earth metals)
  - AMS/DREAMS: Paclitaxel/Sirolimus-eluting stent (Biotronic)
- Other (Desaminotyrosin, Polylactic anhydrate)
  - REVA BRS/ReZolve: Paclitaxel/Sirolimus-eluting stent (Reva Medical)
  - Ideal Biostent: Sirolimus-eluting stent (Xenogenics)

### Types of BRS



A: Igaki-Tamai stent; B: ABSORB stent; C: DESolve stent; D: DREAMS Magesium alloy; E: ReZove BRS; F: Ideal BioStent

## Igaki-Tamai Stent

- PLLA-based BRS
- Self-expandable when contrast dye heated at 80<sup>o</sup> C
- Initial results promising and vessel diameter increased at 3-year follow-up
- Requires 8F Catheter
- Heated contrast dye may cause injury

### Absorb BVS

- PLLA-based stent
- 150 µm strut thickness (c/w 90 in current DES)
- 1:1 mixture of poly-D,L-lactic acid and everolimus
- Degrades over time to  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2$
- Full hydrolytic degadration up to 3 years

# **ABSORB II Study Design**



#### **Clinical Outcomes**

Cumulative incidence in percentage	Absorb 335 pts	Xience 166 pts	<i>p</i> value
Composite of cardiac death, target vessel MI and clinically indicated target lesion revascularization (TLF, DoCE)	<b>4.8</b> %	<b>3.0</b> %	0.35
Cardiac death	0 %	0 %	1.00
Target vessel MI	4.2 %	1.2 %	0.07
Clinically indicated TLR	1.2 %	1.8 %	0.69
All TLR	1.2 %	1.8 %	0.69
Composite of all death, all MI and all revascularization (PoCE)	7.3 %	<b>9.1</b> %	0.47
All death	0 %	0.6 %	0.33
All MI	4.5 %	1.2 %	0.06
All revascularization	3.6 %	7.3 %	0.08

#### Definite scaffold/stent thrombosis

Cumulative incidence in neveentage	Absorb	Xience	p
Cumulative incidence in percentage	335 pts	166 pts	value
Definite scaffold/stent thrombosis			
Acute (0-1 day)	0.3 (1pt)	0.0	NS
Sub-acute (2–30 days)	0.3 (1pt)	0.0	NS
Late (31–365 days)	0.0	0.0	NS
Probable scaffold/stent thrombosis			
Acute (0-1 day)	0.0	0.0	NS
Sub-acute (2–30 days)	0.0	0.0	NS
Late (31–365 days)	0.3 (1pt)	0.0	NS





ABSORB III – 2008 Patients					
Outcome	Absorb (1322) (%)	Xience (686) (%)	р		
Target lesion failure	7.8	6.1	0.16		
Cardiac death	0.6	0.1	0.29		
Target vessel MI	6	4.6	0.18		
Ischemia-driven target lesion revascularization	3	2.5	0.5		
Ischemia-driven target vessel revascularization	5	3.7	0.18		
Patient-reported angina	18.3	18.4	0.93		
Definite or probable stent thrombosis	1.5	0.7	0.13		
	EKE 201	5			

#### **Rates of Stent Thrombosis after implantation of BRS vs BMS**



### **DESolve BRS**

- PLLA based-stent
- 150 µm strut thickness
- Novolimus eluting drug
- Degrades to  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2$  in 1 year
- Device resorption time is 2 years
- Wider range of expansion, reduced strut fracture, self-correction of minor malapposition

## DESolve Nx Clinical Trial Design



Angiographic IVUS, OCT, MSCT (subset)

Primary Endpoint: 6-month in-scaffold late lumen loss Secondary Endpoints:

- Clinical: Major Adverse Cardiac Events (cardiac death, target vessel MI, and clinically indicated TLR), Scaffold thrombosis
- QCA: In-segment late lumen loss, binary restenosis, and percent diameter stenosis
- **IVUS**: In-scaffold percent volume obstruction, malapposition
- **OCT**: In-scaffold percent obstruction, strut coverage
- MSCT: Percent diameter stenosis, lumen area

#### DESolve Nx showed excellent acute performance and low events through 2 years

In-Scaffold Analysis	Basel N <sub>L</sub> = 1	line 126	Post procedure N <sub>L</sub> = 128	6 months N <sub>L</sub> = 113
RVD (mm)	3,06 =	0.31	3.09 = 0,26	3.01 = 0.29
MLD (mm)	0.92 =	0.40	2.67 = 0.28	$2.45 \pm 0.44$
Acute gain (mm)			1,73 = 0.45	
Acute Recoil (%)			6.6%	
LLL at 6-months (mm)				0.21 ± 0.34
Median Late Loss (mm)				0.11 (0.04 , 0.21)
Diameter Stenosis (%)	69.9±	12.3	13,5 = 7.8	18.3 ± 13.6
In-Segment Binary Restenosis* n (%)				4 (3.5%)
Hierarchical Events 0 to 720 days, n (%)		6M (N=122)*	12M (N=122)*	24M (N=122)*
Major Adverse Cardiac E	Events	3.3%	5.7%	7.4%
Cardiac Death**		1 (0.8%)	2 (1.6%)	3 (2.5%)
Target vessel MI""		1 (0.8%)	1 (0.8%)	1 (0.8% )
Q-wave MI		0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Non-Q- wave MI		1 (0.8%)	1 (0.8%)	1 (0.8%)
Clinically Indicated-TL	R PCI	2 (1.6%)	4 (3.3%)	5 (4.1 %)

### Case Example 1 - Multi-modality Imaging

# Angio's

#### Mean Scaffold Diameter = 3.1mm

**Post-PCI** 

#### Pre Procedure



#### 6 mos FU

#### IVUS/OCT



SA = 6.09inm<sup>2</sup> SA = 6.48mm<sup>2</sup>



# MSCT



SA = 7.00mm<sup>2</sup>

3.2 mm

#### 12 mos FU

# ART BRS

- PLLA or PDLA based BRS
- No eluting drug next generation with eluting drug (Terumo)
- Programmed dismantling at 3 months and resorption in 2 years
- CE Mark based on ARTDIVA study 6 months results

# DREAMS 2G

- Magnesium-based BRS
- 120-150 µm strut thickness
- Electronegative charge emerges during degradation with antithrombotic function
- Paclitaxel to sirolimus (2G) eluting drug
- Resorption time up to 12 months



#### Evolution of the BIOTRONIK Absorbable Magnesium Scaffold



\* In-segment late lumen loss. Source: Erbel et al. Lancet 2007;369:1869-75. Haude et al. Lancet 2013;381(9869) 836-844.



#### PCR 2015

#### **BIOSOLVE-I** Results

	6-month <sup>1</sup>	12-month <sup>1</sup>	24-month <sup>2</sup>	36-month <sup>3</sup>
TLF % (n)	4.3% (2)	6.8% (3)	6.8% (3)	6.8% (3)
Cardiac death % (n)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
MI % (n)	0.0%	2.3% (1)	2.3% (1)	2.3% (1)
Scaffold thrombosis % (n)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Clinical TLR % (n)	4.3% (2)	4.5% (2)	4.5% (2)	4.5% (2)

Source: Haude, et al. Lancet 2013; 381:836-44. <sup>2</sup> M Haude, oral presentation EuroPCR 2013, <sup>8</sup> R Waksman, oral presentation EuroPCR 2014.



#### **Endothelialization testing**

in New Zealand white rabbits at 28-days



Source: Adapted from M. Joner, cral presentation, CR1 2015.



Safety and performance of the second-generation drug-eluting absorbable metal scaffold in patients with de-novo coronary artery lesions (<u>BIOSOLVE-II</u>): 6 month results of a prospective, multicentre, non-randomised, first-in-man trial

Between Oct 8, 2013, and May 22, 2015, we enrolled **123 patients** with 123 coronary target lesions. At 6 months, mean in-segment late lumen loss was 0.27 mm (SD 0.37), and angiographically discernable vasomotion was documented in 20 (80%) of 25 patients. Intravascular ultrasound assessments showed a preservation of the scaffold area (mean  $6.24 \text{ mm}^2$  [SD 1.15] post-procedure vs $6.21 \text{ mm}^2$  [1.22] at 6 months) with a low mean neointimal area  $(0.08 \text{ mm}^2 [0.09])$ , and optical coherence tomography did not detect any intraluminal mass. Target lesion failure occurred in four (3%) patients: one (<1%) patient died from cardiac death, one (<1%) patient had periprocedural myocardial infarction, and two (2%) patients needed clinically driven target lesion revascularisation. No definite or probable scaffold thrombosis was observed.

### Conclusions

- BRS represent an advancement in the interventional treatment of coronary disease
- Current data are slightly inferior with respect to device success, recoil, MACE, lumen areas and TLR
- Some possible benefits are only demonstrated in animal testing and small human cohorts
- Data on various type of patients and lesions are limited
- Technical considerations of deployment and imaging
- Optimal duration of double antiplatelet therapy is unclear
- However, current BRS limitations will likely be resolved in the near future